IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, AT- BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2786 OF 2006

PETITIONER

RATTI RAM SONWANI,
AGED – 50 YEARS,
SON OF SHRI KHOBDU RAM SONWANI
POSTED AS – PATWARI,
AT – OFFICE OF THE TAHSIL, GURUR,
DISTRICT – DURG (C. G.)
R/O GURUR, DISTRICT – DURG (C. G.)

P.R. No. 2856/06
Presented by Vinod Sundhiv
dated 14/6/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH,
THROUGH – THE SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
D. K. S. BHAWAN, RAIPUR

2. THE COLLECTOR,
DISTRICT – DURG (C. G.)

3. SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (REVENUE)
SUB – DIVISION – BALOD,
DISTRICT – DURG (C. G.)

4. TAHSILDAR, GURUR,
DISTRICT – DURG (C. G.)

5. SHRI SADHU RAM THAKUR
PATWARI OF P. H. NO. 6 (ADDITIONAL
CHARGE), TAHSIL – GURUR,
DISTRICT – DURG (C. G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
BILASPUR
14/6/06

Writ Petition Under Article – 226 & 227 of Constitution of India

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 2786/06 **सन् 200**

19

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	15.6.2006:- Shri R.K. Kesharwani, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Ashutosh Kachchwaha, G.A. for the State. Heard both the counsel. By this petition, the petitioner has questioned the legality of the order dated 22-10-2005, Annexure-P/1, passed by the Sub Divisional Officer, Revenue, Balod, whereby the petitioner has been transferred and attached to the office of Tehsil Gurur and he has been directed to hand over the charge of Patwari Halka Nos.19 and 14 to another Patwari. How this action is illegal or contrary to any rules or Government order is not mentioned in the petition. The petition lacks in material particulars, therefore, the petitioner has not been able to make out a case for exercising extraordinary jurisdiction by this Court under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India. The writ petition is, therefore, liable to be dismissed and it is hereby dismissed. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

Barve